

Administrative Law

Recent Developments

Table of Contents

TAB 1	<i>Recent Developments in the Standard of Review</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
		David Phillip Jones, Q.C.
	Introduction	1
	Recent Cases on Standards of Review.....	1
	The Decisions in <i>Dr. Q</i> and <i>Ryan</i>	1
	<i>Dr. Q. v. College of Physicians and Surgeons of British Columbia</i> , 2003 SCC 19	2
	<i>Law Society of New Brunswick v. Ryan</i> , 2003 SCC 20	9
	Expertise	18
	<i>Macdonell v. Quebec (Commission d'accès à l'information)</i> 2002 SCC 71	19
	<i>Canada (Information Commissioner) v. Canada (Commissioner of the RCMP)</i> 2003 SCC 8.....	22
	<i>Moreau-Bérubé v. New Brunswick</i> 2002 SCC 11, 36 Admin. L.R. (3d) 1	23
	<i>Dr. Q</i>	24
	<i>Ryan</i>	24
	<i>Canadian Union of Public Employees v. Ontario (Minister of Labour)</i> 2003 SCC 29.....	25
	<i>Barrie Public Utilities v. Canadian Cable Television Association</i> 2003 SCC 28	28
	Determining whether a statutory delegate has expertise with respect to the particular issue	31
	Expertise only has meaning in the context of determining legislative intent	32
	The Distinction Between the Standard of Review and the Content of the Duty of Procedural Fairness—Do the three Standards of Review Apply to Breaches of Procedural Fairness?.....	33
	What is the “Matter” to Which the Standard of Review Applies?	
	How many Standards Apply in a Particular case?	37
	<i>Barrie Public Utilities</i>	37
	<i>The Retired Judges Case</i>	40
	Justice Lebel’s <i>Cri De Coeur</i> in <i>Toronto (CITY) V. C.U.P.E.</i> , <i>Local 79</i>	42
	Summary on Recent Developments About Standards of Review.....	45
	The Review of Discretionary Decisions	45
	<i>Suresh V. Canada (Minister of Citizenship & Immigration)</i> , 2002 SCC 1, (2002) 37 Admin. L.R. (3d) 159.....	46
	<i>Chieu v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship & Immigration)</i> , 2002 SCC 3, (2002) 37 Admin. L.R. (3d) 252.....	51
	<i>Moreau-Bérubé V. New Brunswick</i> , 2002 SCC 11,	

(2002) 36 Admin. L.R. (3d) 1	53
The <i>Retired Judges Case</i>	54
Summary on the Review of the Exercise of Discretionary Powers	59
Appendix A: Extract from Justice Bastarache's Dissent in <i>Barrie Public Utilities v. Canadian Cable Television Association</i> 2003 SCC 28.....	61
Appendix B: B.C. Proposals for Legislated Standards of Review	66
Appendix C: Quotable Quotes.....	68

TAB 2	Judicial Review of Discretionary Decisions	Alastair R. Lucas, Q.C.
--------------	--	-------------------------

Discretion	1
Abuse of Discretion.....	1
The <i>Baker</i> Case.....	5
Review of Discretionary Decisions in Alberta.....	9
<i>Fenske v. Alberta Minister of the Environment</i>	9
<i>Gulf Canada Resources v. Alberta (Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council)</i>	13
<i>Skyline Roofing Ltd. v. Alberta (Workers Compensation Board)</i>	15
Policies or Guidelines and Fettering Discretion	16
Conclusion	19

TAB 3	Reasons: <i>Baker</i> and Beyond	James T. Casey, Q.C. Wendy-Anne Berkenbosch
--------------	----------------------------------	--

Introduction	3
The Requirement to Provide Reasons.....	3
The Resons for Reasons	3
The Traditional Approach	5
The Evolution of the Duty to Provide Reasons	6
Reasons in a Post- <i>Baker</i> Era	10
The Content of the Duty to Provide Reasons	14
Challenging Decisions Based on Inadequate Reasons	18
Conclusions	20

TAB 4	Standing and Role of Decision-Makers	Paul R. Jeffrey
--------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------

Introduction	1
First Principles	3
Standing Generally	4
Tribunal Standing: The <i>NUL</i> Case.....	6
<i>NUL</i> "Modified"	8
Recent Standing Decisions.....	12
<i>Alberta v. Alberta (Labour Relations Board)</i> – August 14, 1998.....	12

<i>Bransen Construction Ltd. v. C.J.A., Local 1386</i> – March 28, 2002	13
<i>British Columbia (Securities Commission) v. Pacific International Securities Inc.</i> – July 3, 2002.....	15
<i>Eckervogt v. British Columbia (Minister of Employment & Investment)</i> – February 13, 2003.....	16
<i>Imperial Oil Limited et al. v. Alberta (Minister of Environment)</i> – April 30, 2003.....	16
<i>Bonnyville Adjacent Landowners Group v. Bonnyville (Municipal District) No. 87</i> – May 27, 2003	19
<i>Turcotte v. Moncton (City)</i> – July 10, 2003.....	20
<i>Children's Lawyer for Ontario v. Goodis</i> – August 14, 2003	21
<i>Alberta Energy Co. v. Goodwell Petroleum Corp.</i> – October 2, 2003.....	24
Federal Court Practice	25
A New Approach Forming?	28
Appendix 1	31
[From NUL (pages 708-711, S.C.R.'s)].....	31
Appendix 2	34
[From Bransen Construction Ltd.]	34

TAB 5	Institutional Bias and Parliamentary Supremacy	William W. Shores
--------------	--	-------------------

Introduction	1
The evolution of the concept of institutional bias under the Charter	2
Institutional independence and institutional impartiality become part of the general duty of fairness for adjudicative bodies	9
<i>2747-3174 Quebec Inc. v. Quebec (Régie des permis d'alcool)</i> – The next step in the evolution of institutional impartiality in administrative law.....	17
<i>Katz v. Vancouver Stock Exchange</i> – The concepts of institutional independence and impartiality clearly become part of the duty of fairness.....	21
Zenith of institutional bias as a sword – B.C. Court of Appeal's decision in <i>Ocean Port</i>	22
The Supreme Court's response-Parliamentary supremacy prevails.....	24
<i>The Retired Judges' Case</i> – A reaffirmation of the importance of contextual analysis in determining independence and impartiality	26
<i>Bell Canada</i> – Reaffirming the need to consider the legislative context.....	29
Conclusion - What remains of institutional bias as a sword?.....	32

TAB 6	Legitimate Expectations	Tim Hurlburt
--------------	-------------------------	--------------

Introduction	2
Origin and History of the Doctrine	2
What does it get you?	3
Meaning of "legitimate"	4
Rationale for the Rule	4
Decision-makers should be held to their promises.....	4

Participation by the parties makes for better decision-making	4
What are the Exceptions or Limitations?.....	5
<i>Reference re Canada Assistance Plan (BC) [1991] 2 SCR 525</i>	5
Legitimate Expectations.....	6
<i>Moreau-Bérubé v. New Brunswick (Judicial Council) [2002] 1 SCR 249</i>	8
Overriding Public Interest.....	10
Comment on “substantive rights”, “particular outcomes” and “fettering”	11
Comment on “legislative functions”	11
<i>The Retired Judges Case (CUPE v. Ontario [Minister of Labour]) 2003 SCC 29</i>	12
<i>Baker v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) [1999] 2 SCR 817</i>	14
<i>Old St Boniface Residents Ass'n v. Winnipeg [1990] 3 SCR 1170</i>	17
Conclusion	18
Authorities	19

TAB 7	When to Fight and When to Hide: Collateral Attack and Issues Estoppel in Administrative Law	Hon. Frans Slatter
--------------	---	--------------------

The Historical Perspective on Collateral Attack	1
The Bias for Internal Attack.....	4
Collateral Attack	5
Issue Estoppel.....	10
Abuse of Process	17
Putting Together the Puzzle	19
Collateral Attack Since <i>Consolidated Maybrun</i>	23
Issue Estoppel Since <i>Danyluk</i>	29
Conclusion.....	37
Bibliography.....	38
Flow Charts	
Choice of Forum Issues.....	42
First Proceeding – Possible Choice of Forum	43
Abuse of Process.....	44
Leading Cases.....	45

TAB 8	Legal Opinions to Tribunals – Privileged or Not?	Tim Hurlburt
--------------	--	--------------

The Problem.....	1
Privilege	2
Duty or fairness	2
<i>Melanson</i>	2
<i>Pritchard</i>	3
Lower Court Decisions	6
<i>Carlin v. Registered Psychiatric Nurses' Ass'n</i>	6
<i>Dhillon No. 1 & No. 2</i>	7
Other cases.....	10
Conclusion.....	10

TAB 9	Discretionary Refusal of Judicial Review	Hon. Frans Slatter
--------------	--	--------------------

Introduction	2
Standing	2
Conduct and Motives.....	3
The merits.....	3
Delay	5
Waiver, consent and acquiescence.....	5
Mootness and impossibility.....	6
Alternative remedies.....	7
Refusal of Judicial Review Because of Alternate Remedies.....	8

TAB 10	Role of the Attorney General In Judicial Review	Tim Hurlburt
---------------	---	--------------

Service on the A.-G..	1
Non-compliance	3