



Legal Education
Society of Alberta

62138.00

Shareholder Disputes

Edmonton, Alberta

Calgary, Alberta

Chair

Paul G. Chiswell

Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer LLP

Calgary, AB

Faculty

Justice Donna L. Shelley

Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta

Edmonton, AB

Prof. Jassmine Girgis

University of Calgary

Calgary, AB

Vivian R. Stevenson QC

Duncan Craig LLP

Edmonton, AB

Prof. Shannon O'Byrne

University of Alberta

Edmonton, AB

Dean Das

MDD Forensic Accountants LLP

Calgary, AB

LEGAL EDUCATION SOCIETY OF ALBERTA

These materials are produced by the Legal Education Society of Alberta (LESA) as part of its mandate in the field of continuing education. The information in the materials is provided for educational or informational purposes only. The information is not intended to provide legal advice and should not be relied upon in that respect. The material presented may be incorporated into the working knowledge of the reader but its use is predicated upon the professional judgment of the user that the material is correct and is appropriate in the circumstances of a particular use.

The information in these materials is believed to be reliable; however, LESA does not guarantee the quality, accuracy, or completeness of the information provided. These materials are provided as a reference point only and should not be relied upon as being inclusive of the law. LESA is not responsible for any direct, indirect, special, incidental or consequential damage or any other damages whatsoever and howsoever caused, arising out of or in connection with the reliance upon the information provided in these materials.

This publication may contain reproductions of the Statutes of Alberta and Alberta Regulations, which are reproduced in this publication under license from the Province of Alberta.

© Alberta Queen's Printer, 2019, in the Statutes of Alberta and Alberta Regulations.

The official Statutes and Regulations should be consulted for all purposes of interpreting and applying the law.

© 2019. Legal Education Society of Alberta. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise without the prior permission of the Legal Education Society of Alberta.

ISBN-10: 1-55093-731-6
ISBN-13: 978-1-55093-731-2

Valuation of Businesses: Issues Commercial Litigators Should Know in Contentious Shareholder Exits

Prepared by:

Dean Das

MDD Forensic Accountants LLP



Legal Education
Society of Alberta

For: *Shareholder Disputes*
Calgary, AB – October 25, 2019
Edmonton, AB – October 29, 2019

VALUATION OF BUSINESSES: ISSUES COMMERCIAL LITIGATORS SHOULD KNOW IN CONTENTIOUS SHAREHOLDER EXITS

Introduction2

Valuation Approaches.....2

 Going Concern Valuation Approaches.....2

 Asset Approach2

 Income Approach3

 The Market Approach.....3

 Liquidation Approach.....3

Fair Market Value.....3

Minority Discounts5

Other Relevant Terms of Reference or Value.....5

 Fair Value5

 Value to Owner.....5

Price Versus Fair Market Value6

Components of value.....7

Principles of Business Valuation.....8

INTRODUCTION

The valuation of a business, or an interest therein, is fundamentally a subjective exercise that, in order to formulate a reasoned conclusion, requires detailed business analysis and application of valuation principles. Because each business valuation is unique, the business valuation exercise ought not to be approached using a ‘one-size fits all’ technique. In each instance, the principles, concepts and methodologies used to determine value will be tailored to the business in question, and moreover, the purpose for which the valuation exercise is being undertaken.

In this paper, I discuss aspects of the business valuation exercise that may underlie, or create consternation amongst shareholders, and their views on value; particularly in situations involving exits from a business. While this paper describes areas in business valuation that can lead to different beliefs on value, the topics reviewed are not, and should not be taken to be, an *exhaustive* list of all aspects of business valuation theory and practice that result in or create these differences.¹

In the presentation, connected with this paper, I, among other things, review examples of legal cases that illustrate the issues raised in this paper.

VALUATION APPROACHES

Businesses can generally be separated into two categories: those that are ‘going concerns’ and those that are not. A business is a going concern if it is expected to continue to operate for the foreseeable future. A business is not a going concern if it is in financial distress and cannot, or soon will not be able to, meet its financial obligations.

Going Concern Valuation Approaches

There are three primary going concern valuation approaches: the asset approach, income approach, and market approach.

Asset Approach

The objective of the business valuator using this approach is to restate the historical cost balance sheet to fair market value, thereby disclosing the true economic value of all assets and liabilities of the business. The business valuator starts with the balance sheet of the business and adjusts all assets and liabilities to their fair market value. This approach is more useful in situations where the

¹ Much of the material discussed in this paper has been informed by two leading CBV valuation texts, “Business Valuation”, by Howard E. Johnson, 2012; and, “Canadian Valuation Service, 2018 Student Edition”, by H. Christopher Nobes, Howard E. Johnson, and Ian Campbell, August 2017.